LAWLER, METZGER & MILKMAN, LLC

1909 K STREET, NW SUITE 820 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006 PHONE (202) 777-7700 FACSIMILE (202) 777-7763

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

February 29, 2000

RECEIVED

FEB 2 9 2000

PEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

BY HAND

Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW -- Room TW-A325 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: CC Docket No. 99-272

Dear Ms. Salas:

On February 28, 2000, the enclosed letter was transmitted to Chairman William E. Kennard, Commissioner Harold W. Furchtgott-Roth, Commissioner Susan Ness, Commissioner Michael K. Powell, and Commissioner Gloria Tristani. The letter outlines the views of the signatories regarding the need for conditions on the proposed merger between Qwest Communications International Inc. and U S WEST Corporation.

Pursuant to section 1.1206(b)(1) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §1.1206(b)(1), an original and one copy of this letter and enclosure are being provided to you for inclusion in the public record of the above-referenced proceeding.

Sincerely,

Michael B. Hazzard

Enclosure

cc: Chairman William E. Kennard

Commissioner Harold W. Furchtgott-Roth

Commissioner Susan Ness

Commissioner Michael K. Powell

Commissioner Gloria Tristani

No. of Copies rec'd A List A B C D E



February 28, 2000

The Honorable William E. Kennard, Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Applications for Consent to the Transfer of Control of Licenses and Section 214 Authorizations from U S WEST, Inc., Transferor, to Qwest Communications International Inc., Transferee, CC Docket No. 99-272

Dear Chairman Kennard:

We are executive officers of competitive local exchange carriers that currently provide service in the U S WEST region in competition with the incumbent. We are writing you in connection with the proposed merger between Qwest and U S WEST that is currently under review by this Commission. We do not oppose the merger of these two companies. Rather, we are writing to urge you to condition the Commission's approval of the merger on specific market-opening commitments by U S WEST and Owest.

We recognize that the applicants have asserted that the proposed merger is in the public interest because it will strengthen the incentives of the merged entity to comply with its obligations under section 271 and other provisions of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See, e.g., Merger of Qwest Communications International Inc. and USWEST, Inc., CC Docket No. 99-272, Applications for Transfer of Control, 17-18 (filed August 19, 1999). To date, however, USWEST and Qwest have steadfastly refused to translate that general claim into specific, concrete commitments.

We frankly are troubled by the applicants' continuing refusal to substantiate their assertions regarding the public interest benefits of the proposed merger. If the applicants seek to persuade the Commission that the proposed merger will accelerate the opening of U S WEST's local markets to new entry, we are at a loss to understand why they are not prepared to provide specific commitments that demonstrate how that objective will be accomplished. We note that parties in this proceeding, including Allegiance and McLeodUSA, have recommended particular conditions that in our view, would provide credible support for the claim that this merger will advance the Commission's procompetitive goals in the U S WEST region.

This merger presents a unique opportunity for the Commission to foster the emergence of local competition in a region of the country that clearly has lagged behind other Bell territories in delivering the benefits of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to_{P.O. Box 5159}

3000 Columbia House Blvd Vancouver, WA 98668 Phone: 360.693.9009

Fax: 360.693.9997 www.newedgenetworks.com

Chairman Kennard February 28, 2000 Page 2

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps, U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, while he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce/J/ Holland

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

J. Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray

President and Chief Executive Officer

McLeodUSA

Dan Moffat President/CEO

Chairman Kennard February 28, 2000 Page 2

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray President and Chief Executive Officer McLeodUSA J. Shelby Bryan Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ICG Communications, Inc.

Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer

Chairman Kennard February 28, 2000 Page 2

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray
President and Chief Executive Officer

McLeodUSA

J. Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ICG Communications, Inc.

Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer

12:28

Chairman Kennard February 28, 2000 Page 2

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray
President and Chief Executive Officer
McLeodUSA

Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer



February 28, 2000

The Honorable Harold W. Furchtgott-Roth, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Applications for Consent to the Transfer of Control of Licenses and Section 214 Authorizations from U S WEST, Inc., Transferor, to Qwest Communications International Inc., Transferee, CC Docket No. 99-272

Dear Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth:

We are executive officers of competitive local exchange carriers that currently provide service in the U S WEST region in competition with the incumbent. We are writing you in connection with the proposed merger between Qwest and U S WEST that is currently under review by this Commission. We do not oppose the merger of these two companies. Rather, we are writing to urge you to condition the Commission's approval of the merger on specific market-opening commitments by U S WEST and Qwest.

We recognize that the applicants have asserted that the proposed merger is in the public interest because it will strengthen the incentives of the merged entity to comply with its obligations under section 271 and other provisions of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See, e.g., Merger of Qwest Communications International Inc. and USWEST, Inc., CC Docket No. 99-272, Applications for Transfer of Control, 17-18 (filed August 19, 1999). To date, however, USWEST and Qwest have steadfastly refused to translate that general claim into specific, concrete commitments.

We frankly are troubled by the applicants' continuing refusal to substantiate their assertions regarding the public interest benefits of the proposed merger. If the applicants seek to persuade the Commission that the proposed merger will accelerate the opening of U S WEST's local markets to new entry, we are at a loss to understand why they are not prepared to provide specific commitments that demonstrate how that objective will be accomplished. We note that parties in this proceeding, including Allegiance and McLeodUSA, have recommended particular conditions that in our view, would provide credible support for the claim that this merger will advance the Commission's procompetitive goals in the U S WEST region.

This merger presents a unique opportunity for the Commission to foster the emergence of local competition in a region of the country that clearly has lagged behind other Bell territories in delivering the benefits of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to P.O. Box 5159

3000 Columbia House Blvd Vancouver, WA 98668

> Phone: 360.693.9009 Fax: 360.693.9997

www.newedgenetworks.com

Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth February 28, 2000 Page 2

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps, U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, while he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J/Holland

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

J. Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray

President and Chief Executive Officer

McLeodUSA

Dan Moffat President/CEO

Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth February 28, 2000 Page 2

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray
President and Chief Executive Officer
McLeodUSA

J. Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ICG Communications, Inc.

Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer

Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth February 28, 2000 Page 2

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

President and Chief Executive Officer
McLeodUSA

J. Shelby Bryan Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ICG Communications, Inc.

Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer New Edge Networks 12:29

Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth February 28, 2000 Page 2

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland
Chairman and Chief Execut

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray
President and Chief Executive Officer
McLeodUSA

Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer



February 28, 2000

The Honorable Susan Ness, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Applications for Consent to the Transfer of Control of Licenses and Section 214 Authorizations from U S WEST, Inc., Transferor, to Qwest Communications International Inc., Transferee, CC Docket No. 99-272

Dear Commissioner Ness:

We are executive officers of competitive local exchange carriers that currently provide service in the U S WEST region in competition with the incumbent. We are writing you in connection with the proposed merger between Qwest and U S WEST that is currently under review by this Commission. We do not oppose the merger of these two companies. Rather, we are writing to urge you to condition the Commission's approval of the merger on specific market-opening commitments by U S WEST and Qwest.

We recognize that the applicants have asserted that the proposed merger is in the public interest because it will strengthen the incentives of the merged entity to comply with its obligations under section 271 and other provisions of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See, e.g., Merger of Qwest Communications International Inc. and US WEST, Inc., CC Docket No. 99-272, Applications for Transfer of Control, 17-18 (filed August 19, 1999). To date, however, US WEST and Qwest have steadfastly refused to translate that general claim into specific, concrete commitments.

We frankly are troubled by the applicants' continuing refusal to substantiate their assertions regarding the public interest benefits of the proposed merger. If the applicants seek to persuade the Commission that the proposed merger will accelerate the opening of U S WEST's local markets to new entry, we are at a loss to understand why they are not prepared to provide specific commitments that demonstrate how that objective will be accomplished. We note that parties in this proceeding, including Allegiance and McLeodUSA, have recommended particular conditions that in our view, would provide credible support for the claim that this merger will advance the Commission's procompetitive goals in the U S WEST region.

This merger presents a unique opportunity for the Commission to foster the emergence of local competition in a region of the country that clearly has lagged behind other Bell territories in delivering the benefits of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to P.O. Box 5159

3000 Columbia House Blvd Vancouver, WA 98668 Phone: 360.693.9009

Fax: 360.693.9997 www.newedgenetworks.com

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps, U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, while he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Molland

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

J. Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray

President and Chief Executive Officer

McLeodUSA

Dan Moffat President/CEO

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Allegiance Telecom, Inc. J. Shelby Bryan Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray President and Chief Executive Officer McLeodUSA Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer
New Edge Networks

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Allegiance Telecom, Inc. J. Shelby Bryan Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray

President and Chief Executive

President and Chief Executive Officer

McLeodUSA

Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

J. Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray
President and Chief Executive Officer
McLeodUSA

Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer New Edge Networks



February 28, 2000

The Honorable Michael K. Powell, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

> Applications for Consent to the Transfer of Control of Licenses and Section 214 Authorizations from U S WEST, Inc., Transferor, to Qwest Communications International Inc., Transferee, CC Docket No. 99-272

Dear Commissioner Powell:

Re:

We are executive officers of competitive local exchange carriers that currently provide service in the U S WEST region in competition with the incumbent. We are writing you in connection with the proposed merger between Qwest and U S WEST that is currently under review by this Commission. We do not oppose the merger of these two companies. Rather, we are writing to urge you to condition the Commission's approval of the merger on specific market-opening commitments by U S WEST and Qwest.

We recognize that the applicants have asserted that the proposed merger is in the public interest because it will strengthen the incentives of the merged entity to comply with its obligations under section 271 and other provisions of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See, e.g., Merger of Qwest Communications International Inc. and US WEST, Inc., CC Docket No. 99-272, Applications for Transfer of Control, 17-18 (filed August 19, 1999). To date, however, US WEST and Qwest have steadfastly refused to translate that general claim into specific, concrete commitments.

We frankly are troubled by the applicants' continuing refusal to substantiate their assertions regarding the public interest benefits of the proposed merger. If the applicants seek to persuade the Commission that the proposed merger will accelerate the opening of U S WEST's local markets to new entry, we are at a loss to understand why they are not prepared to provide specific commitments that demonstrate how that objective will be accomplished. We note that parties in this proceeding, including Allegiance and McLeodUSA, have recommended particular conditions that in our view, would provide credible support for the claim that this merger will advance the Commission's procompetitive goals in the U S WEST region.

This merger presents a unique opportunity for the Commission to foster the emergence of local competition in a region of the country that clearly has lagged behind other Bell territories in delivering the benefits of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to P.O. Box 5159

3000 Columbia House Blvd Vancouver, WA 98668 Phone: 360.693.9009

Fax: 360.693.9997 www.newedgenetworks.com

Commissioner Powell February 28, 2000 Page 2

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps, U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, while he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

J. Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray

President and Chief Executive Officer

McLeodUSA

Dan Moffat

President/CEO

Commissioner Powell February 28, 2000 Page 2

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

J. Shelby Bryan Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray President and Chief Executive Officer McLeodUSA Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer
New Edge Networks

Commissioner Powell February 28, 2000 Page 2

V= 20, 00 MON IV. VT 1MA 010 400 0400

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

Steplier C. Grav

President and Chief Executive Officer

McLeodUSA

J. Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ICG Communications, Inc.

Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer

12:30

Commissioner Powell February 28, 2000 Page 2

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland
Chairman and Chief Executive

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

J Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray
President and Chief Executive Officer
McLeodUSA

Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer New Edge Networks



February 28, 2000

The Honorable Gloria Tristani, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

Re:

Applications for Consent to the Transfer of Control of Licenses and Section 214 Authorizations from U S WEST, Inc., Transferor, to Qwest Communications International Inc., Transferee, CC Docket No. 99-272

Dear Commissioner Tristani:

We are executive officers of competitive local exchange carriers that currently provide service in the U S WEST region in competition with the incumbent. We are writing you in connection with the proposed merger between Qwest and U S WEST that is currently under review by this Commission. We do not oppose the merger of these two companies. Rather, we are writing to urge you to condition the Commission's approval of the merger on specific market-opening commitments by U S WEST and Qwest.

We recognize that the applicants have asserted that the proposed merger is in the public interest because it will strengthen the incentives of the merged entity to comply with its obligations under section 271 and other provisions of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See, e.g., Merger of Qwest Communications International Inc. and US WEST, Inc., CC Docket No. 99-272, Applications for Transfer of Control, 17-18 (filed August 19, 1999). To date, however, US WEST and Qwest have steadfastly refused to translate that general claim into specific, concrete commitments.

We frankly are troubled by the applicants' continuing refusal to substantiate their assertions regarding the public interest benefits of the proposed merger. If the applicants seek to persuade the Commission that the proposed merger will accelerate the opening of U S WEST's local markets to new entry, we are at a loss to understand why they are not prepared to provide specific commitments that demonstrate how that objective will be accomplished. We note that parties in this proceeding, including Allegiance and McLeodUSA, have recommended particular conditions that in our view, would provide credible support for the claim that this merger will advance the Commission's procompetitive goals in the U S WEST region.

This merger presents a unique opportunity for the Commission to foster the emergence of local competition in a region of the country that clearly has lagged behind other Bell territories in delivering the benefits of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to P.O. Box 5159

3000 Columbia House Blvd Vancouver, WA 98668 Phone: 360.693.9009

Fax: 360.693.9997 www.newedgenetworks.com

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps, U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, while he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce / Hølland

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

J. Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray

President and Chief Executive Officer

McLeodUSA

Dan Moffat President/CEO

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Allegiance Telecom, Inc. J. Shelby Bryan Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray President and Chief Executive Officer McLeodUSA Dan Moffat ('President/Chief Executive Officer New Edge Networks

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

Stephen C. Grav

President and Chief Executive Officer

McLeodUSA

J. Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ICG Communications, Inc.

Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer

consumers. Indeed, in apparent recognition of U S WEST's past performance deficiencies, state commissions in that region are considering how they can use the merger review process to improve service quality and advance local competition in their states. For example, staff members of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission have recently proposed that the Washington Commission impose a series of conditions on its approval of the merger to ensure that consumers and competitors obtain the benefits asserted by Qwest and U S WEST. We similarly urge you to use this opportunity to ensure that the applicants' promises that local markets in this region will be opened to new competitors in fact will be fulfilled.

As you know, U S WEST is the only Regional Bell Operating Company that has not even filed an application for Commission approval to offer in-region, interLATA service in any of its 14 states, let alone received such authority. Perhaps U S WEST has shared the view expressed by the President of the United States Telephone Association, when he testified last November before the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, that compliance with the market-opening requirements of section 271 is "voluntary." In this proceeding, however, Qwest and U S WEST have represented that the merged company will act promptly to come into compliance with those requirements. We simply ask that the Commission ensure that the applicants honor that commitment after the merger has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

Royce J. Holland

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Allegiance Telecom, Inc.

Shelby Bryan

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

ICG Communications, Inc.

Stephen C. Gray
President and Chief Executive Officer
McLeodUSA

Dan Moffat

President/Chief Executive Officer